Short Communication

Jr. of Industrial Pollution Control 26 (1)(2010) pp 115-118 © EM International Printed in India. All rights reserved

# ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF KARELI SUGAR MILL EFFLUENT ON LOCAL GROUND WATER

#### **RANJANA YADAV AND O.N. CHOUBEY\***

Department of Chemistry, Govt. Narmada P.G.College, Hoshangabad 461 001, M.P., India

Key words: COD, Alkalinity, Chloride, Hardness

## ABSTRACT

The present investigation deals with a study of sugar mill effluent on ground water which used for domestic purposes. Water quality index and various physico-chemical parameters of the selected samples of nearby sugar mill were evaluated. The study indicates that water quality parameters in the sugar mill effluents is very high and exceed the permissible limits.

### **INTRODUCTION**

All industrial establishments generate and dispose of wastes in one form or others, traditionally waste is viewed as an unnecessary element arising from industrial activities. The industrial areas dump lots of materials in their environment which causes pollution. The most often affected are natural resource including water resources. The ground water is one of the earth, renewable resources which occur as a part of hydrological cycle. The effluent discharged from sugar mills contains a number of chemical pollutants.

In this paper an indication of higher concentrations of chemicals in the effluents and their subsequent effect on the local ground water was critically examined.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The supplied and treated water samples were analyzed for various parameters using standard procedure (APHA, 1995). The chemicals used were of analytical grade and the instruments were in the limit of precise accuracy. The pH was measured by pH meter, temperature was measured with thermometer, DO, alkalinity, chloride, hardness, all are measured by titration methods, BOD of effluent was measured by dilution methods, COD was observed by close reflux method.

Water samples were collected in 1L polythene bottles previously soaked with  $8N HNO_3$  and washed with distilled water. The samples were acidified with  $6N.HNO_3$  (8m/L) soon after sampling. The samples for heavy metals analysis were collected separately and acidified immediately.

All the water samples included with effluent taken for analysis. The details of Sampling Information are as under;

| <b>T</b> 11 4 |         |      | 1.   | • •  |        |   |
|---------------|---------|------|------|------|--------|---|
| Table 1.      | water s | samp | ling | info | rmatio | n |

| Co | bllection area of the sample | Sample No     |
|----|------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Effluent of Sugar mill       | Sample RK-I   |
| 2. | Water sample of hand pump    | Sample RK-II  |
|    | near Sugar mill              |               |
| 3. | Water sample of hand pump    | Sample RK-III |
|    | before Sugar mill            |               |
| 4. | Water sample of well behind  | Sample RK-IV  |
| _  | Sugar mill                   |               |

Address for correspondence : Email : omchoubey@yahoo.com

| Table 2. Summarized resu | ults of some | e physico-           | chemical e   | characteris           | stics of Ka          | reli Suga    | r Mill                |                      |              |                       |                      |              |                       |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Physico-Chienical        | Limits       |                      | RK - I       |                       |                      | RK - II      |                       |                      | RK - III     |                       |                      | RK - IV      |                       |
|                          |              | Pre-<br>Mon-<br>soon | Mon-<br>soon | Post-<br>Mon-<br>soon |
| pH<br>Temnerature        | 7.0-8.5      | 8.1<br>30            | 7.9<br>31    | 7.8<br>30             | 7.6<br>80            | 7.3<br>77    | 7.9<br>80             | 7.5<br>28            | 7.9<br>7.0   | 7.9<br>80             | 7.6<br>90            | 7.8<br>80    | 7.9<br>86             |
| Electrical Conductivity  | 300          | 1040                 | 820          | 920                   | 730                  | 500<br>890   | 790                   | 750                  | 800 i        | 200                   | 790                  | 800          | 750                   |
| Total Alkalinity         | 200          | 368.2                | 719.04       | 759.04                | 227.8                | 413.9        | 635.04                | 330.34               | 445.4        | 655.2                 | 293.8                | 358.2        | 719.04                |
| Total Hardness           | 300          | 344                  | 380          | 524                   | 304                  | 340          | 408                   | 256                  | 280          | 282                   | 220                  | 289          | 344                   |
| Chloride                 | 250          | 789.6                | 646.2        | 650.4                 | 218.4                | 315.6        | 213.47                | 347.8                | 308.4        | 330.1                 | 389.2                | 390.1        | 440.2                 |
| Fluoride                 | 1.5          | 1.8                  | 1.5          | 1.9                   | 1.2                  | 1.2          | 1.4                   | 0.5                  | 0.6          | 0.8                   | 0.5                  | 1.2          | 1.4                   |
| Phosphate                | 0.1          | 0.4                  | 0.2          | 0.8                   | 0.1                  | 0.1          | 0.4                   | 0.1                  | 0            | 0.2                   | 0.1                  | 0.0          | 0.3                   |
| Zinc                     | 5.5          | 0.436                | 0.434        | 0.814                 | 0.123                | 0.152        | 0.436                 | 0.110                | 0.148        | 0.123                 | 0.228                | 0.128        | 0.428                 |
| Copper                   | 1.0          | 0.366                | 0.220        | 0.501                 | 0.067                | 0.189        | 0.190                 | 0.190                | 0.220        | 0.366                 | 0.183                | 0.189        | 0.190                 |
| Iron                     | 1.0          | 0.542                | 0348         | 0.434                 | 0.202                | 0.173        | 0.202                 | 0.298                | 0.234        | 0.441                 | 1.184                | 0.213        | 0.303                 |
| Manganese                | <0.05        | 0.128                | 0.173        | .20                   | 0.082                | 0.128        | 0.151                 | 0.040                | 0.152        | 0.616                 | 0.168                | 0.173        | 0.182                 |
| Dissolved Oxygen         | 4.0-6.0      | 0                    | 0            | 0                     | 34.2                 | 4.0          | 3.1                   | 3.2                  | 4.1          | 3.3                   | 4.0                  | 4.1          | 4.1                   |
| BOD                      | 90           | 2.0                  | 1.9          | 2.5                   | 2.8                  | 1.4          | 1.7                   | 2.0                  | 1.4          | 2.0                   | 1.4                  | 4.0          | 2.1                   |
| COD                      | 10           | 2400                 | 1600         | 1200                  | 176                  | 114.0        | 64                    | 128                  | 112.0        | 144                   | 105                  | 80           | 120                   |
| TDS                      | 500          | 1.890                | 1.900        | 1.789                 | 0.432                | 0.595        | 0.32                  | 0.346                | 0.443        | 0.440                 | 0.14                 | 0.206        | 0.311                 |

## The values of various water quality parameters of waste water generated from sugar industry have been summarized in Table 2 along with permissible

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** 

YADAV AND CHOUBEY

Standards (BIS, 1983). The average temperature of sugar mill effluents is 30°C and is found to equal to that of room temperature observed on the day, so the sugar mill effluent is not thermally polluted.

values. Prescribed by Bureau of Indian

The value of pH fluctuated from 7.3 -8.1 which is within the permissible limits. Electrical conductivity is an excellent indicator of ionic forms of TDS, which is a measure of salinity that affects the taste of potable water (WHO, 1994). The electrical conductivity values of water sample in the study area ranged from 700 -1040 micro mhos/cm.

The alkalinity values were found increasing in the post monsoon period, compared to the premonsoon period in all samples in Fig 1. This may be due to the movements of pollutants into the ground water storage.

Hardness of water is due to the presence of dissolved chlorides, Sulphates, carbonates, and bicarbonates of calcium and magnesium ions.

The range of total hardness is 300- 520 mg/L. It is observed that the water was fairly hard for maximum part of the study and had crossed the maximum limit for portability purposes.

All values are expressed in mg/L except pH and Ec, pH in pH units, mhos cm

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) is a measure of biologically degradable organic matter. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) is a measure of chemically oxidisable organic matter. High load of organic compounds in the effluents may cause an increase in BOD & COD load and simultaneous depletion of DO concentration. The observed value of COD ranges from (1200 -2400mg/L) as shown in Fig 2.

The DO level of the effluents is zero and sample of nearby sugar mill is much below the desirable limits, it is due to









the overload of effluent and increased density of microorganisms which lowers the DO level.

In Fig 3.The chloride concentrations ranges from 218.4-789.8 mg/L which exceeds the permissible limits (250 mg/L).

## CONCLUSION

Several physico-chemical parameters and there variability have been studied in relation to the pollution in water. The different parameters measured during the study have higher value which affects the ecosystem. The chemical analysis showed that the polluted site of Kareli Sugar mill contains high values of chloride, total alkalinity and COD which indicate high pollution load. The present study indicates greater impact of sugar mill on ground water quality.

#### REFERENCES

APHA 1995. Standard Methods for Examination of Water and

Wastewater, APHA, USA.

- Bahane, J.K., Udaipuire, S.K and Choubey, O.N. 2007. Impact of effluent of soya solvent extractions plants in environment. *Jr. of Industrial Pollution Control.* 23 (1): 103-105.
- Bedmar Plata, A. and Araguas, A.L. 2002. *Detection and Prevention of Leaks from DAMS*, AA Balkema publishers, Netherlands. p. 148.
- BIS, 1991. Indian standard, drinking specification (First Revision), is 10500; 1991'*Bureau of Indian Standards*, New Delhi.
- Freez. R.A and Cherry, J.A. 1979. *Ground Water*. Prentice Hall Inc, Englewood Clips, New Jersey, PP 556.
- Goel, A., Tyagi Manu and Richa, 2007. A Case Study on Characterization, Treatment and Utilization of Deoband Sugar Mill Effluent. *Jr. of Industrial Pollution Control* 23 (2) : 413-417.
- Hameed, S.A. and Prasad, N.B.N. 2008. Hydro chemical Assessment and ground water recharge mechanism in the humid tropics. *Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering*. 50 (4) : 263 -270.