Jr. of Industrial Pollution Control 24 (1)(2008) pp 1-8 © Enviromedia Printed in India. All rights reserved

# IMPACT OF AQUEOUS SULPHUR DIOXIDE ON BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF *RUMEX HESTATA*

### A.A. DAR, B.A. GANAI, S.K. RAFIQ\*.A.MASOOD AND RAVINDER KUMAR\*\*

P.G. Department of Bio-chemistry, \* P.G. Department of Environmental Science, \*\* Centre of Research for Development, University of Kashmir, Srinagar 190 006, J& K, India \*\*\* P.G. Department of environmental sciences S.P.College, Srinagar 190 006, J& K, India

Key words : SO<sub>2</sub> chlorophyll, Proteins, Sugars, Perrinal herb and Rumex hestata.

## ABSTRACT

The impact of aqueous  $SO_2$  on total chlorophyll, phaeophytin, carotenoids, proteins, amino acids, starch, and free sugars of a perennial herb, Rumex hestata was studied for a period of one year. All these, except free sugars, showed a remarkable decrease, attributed to the over all decrease in enzymatic activities, as compared to that under controlled conditions on exposure to sulphur dioxide. The increase in free sugars is attributed to the fact that hydrolytic enzymes get activated on  $SO_2$  treatment and break polysaccharides.

### INTRODUCTION

About 300 million tonnes of pollutants are being released annually into the atmosphere throughout the world. India alone contributes 10 million tonnes to it in the form of particulates and gaseous pollutants (Ganai *et al.*, 1996). In general, any fuel, which contains sulphur, produces SO<sub>2</sub> on combustion and almost all fuels contain some amount of sulphur, which is considered to be the most important phytotoxic molecule (Legge et al., 1998). SO2 toxicity to vegetation is well documented and its effects on vegetation have been well reviewed in terms of foliar injury (Malhotra and Khan, 1984; Pavgi et al. 1991; Venkateshwar et al. 1992), physiological and biochemical alterations (Agarwal, 2000 and 2003; Holopainen et al. 1993; Kailunainen et al. 1995) and species specific responses of plants to SO<sub>2</sub> (Jones et al. 1979; Farooq and Beig 1980; ). Relations between genetic make up, concentration of the pollutants and

prevailing ecological conditions have been studied by various workers like Heek et al. 1965; Ali 1993; Riga et al. 2005. SO, has also been seen effecting the metabolism and pigment destruction (Peiser and Yang, 1977; Wang et al, 2005). SO<sub>2</sub> gets well absorbed into the cells affecting various metabolic activities (Pahlich et al. 1972). SO<sub>2</sub> entering the gas phase of a leaf rapidly dissolves in the aqueous phase of cell wall (apoplastic space) and reacts with water to form bisulphite. Aqueous solution of SO<sub>2</sub> contains different species such as H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>, HSO<sub>3</sub>, and SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> and dissolved but unreacted  $\overline{SO}_{2}$  (SO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O) depending upon the pH of cell (Hocking and Hocking, 1977). The abnormalities caused due to SO<sub>2</sub> include: Membrane damage, plasmolysis, chlorophyll destruction and Genetic material destruction (Seema et al. 1991).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### (i) Preparation of aqueous sulphur dioxide

Aqueous sulphur dioxide of 1000ppm concentration

Author for correspondence: Dr.B.A.Ganai, Reader, Department of Biochemistry, University of Kashmir, Srinagar 190 006, J & K, India

was prepared by dissolving 1.8g of sodium sulphite in 500mL-distilled water. From this solution, the solutions of different concentrations like 250ppm, 500ppm and 750ppm were prepared by appropriate dilutions.

# (ii) Treatment of leaf discs with aqueous sulphur dioxide

1g leaf discs of equal dimensions (1 cm dia.) of *Rumex hestata* (locally called as "ABUJ") were treated with different concentrations (250ppm, 500ppm 750ppm and 1000ppm) of aqueous sulphur dioxide for 4hrs. in glass petri dishes under the light provided by a 100W tungsten electric bulb. According to the recommendations given by Environment Protection Agency (USA) for treating leaf discs with toxicants for primary screening of plants for their sensitivity. Each concentration was compared with the "control" that was run parallel with each sample.

The chlorophyll content was estimated according to the equation given by Strain *et al.* (1971), the phaeophytin according to Vernon (1960) and the carotenoid content was estimated following Duxbury and Yentech (1956). Proteins were estimated according to the standard methodology of Lowry *et al.* 1951 using 100mg% egg albumin as standard. Estimation of amino acids was carried out following the methodology of Lee and Takahashi (1966), that of starch was done according to Montgomery (1982).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table1. and 2. show the impacts upon chlorophyll, phaeophytin and carotenoid content of *Rumex hestata* on exposure to different concentrations of aqueous  $SO_2$ . Total chlorophyll content showed 66.9%, 70.9%, 75.2% and 81.2% decrease at 250 ppm, 500 ppm, 750 ppm and 1000-ppm  $SO_2$  concentrations respectively. Such decrease could be attributed to overall decrease in enzymatic processes. The results are in conformation to the findings of Huang *et al.* (1994 a, b) and Vogelman and Borett (1988).

Similar decreasing trend was depicted by phaeophytin and carotenoids. Total phaeophytin content showed 62.3%, 66.1%, 67.2% and 73.4% decrease at 250 ppm, 500 ppm, 750 ppm and 1000 ppm  $SO_2$  respectively, as compared to that of control. The respective decrease in carotenoids was recorded to

Table 1. Impact of different concentrations	s of aqueous	sulphur d	ioxide on	chlorophyll	content
---	--------------	-----------	-----------	-------------	---------

Parameter	Concentrations (ppm)							
	Control	250	500	750	1000			
Chlorophyll 'a' (µg/mL)	$1.13841 \pm 0.05$	0.46917±0.03 (-58.7)	0.42536± 0.04 (-62.6)	$0.35829 \pm 0.10$ (-68.5)	0.32404± 0.05 (-71.5)			
Chlorophyll "b' (µg/mL)	2.35713±0.04 (-70.8)	0.68617± .006 (-74.9)	0.59141± .025 (-78.4)	0.50701± 0.028 (-85.9)	0.33181± 0.03			
Total Chlorophyll (µg/mL)	$3.49554 \pm 0.08$	1.15534± 0.04 (-66.9)	1.01677± 0.05 (-70.9)	0.8653 ± 0.054 (-75.2)	0.65585± 0.06 (-81.2)			

Data represents the average of three samples analyzed separately  $\pm$  S.D values in brackets represent %age decrease (-) compared to control.

Table 2.	Impact of	different	concentrations of	of ac	queous su	lphur	dioxide	on p	haeop	hy	tin and	l carotenoid	content
----------	-----------	-----------	-------------------	-------	-----------	-------	---------	------	-------	----	---------	--------------	---------

Parameter		Concentrations	s (ppm)		
	Control	250	500	750	1000
Phaeophytin 'a' (µg/mL)	$3.6713 \pm 0.12$	1.5937 ± 0.05 (-56.5)	1.4976 ± 0.06 (-59.2)	$1.3590 \pm 0.108$ (-62.9)	1.1211 ± 0.06 (-69.4)
Phaeophytin 'b' (µg/mL)	$5.8242 \pm 0.41$	$1.983 \pm 0.051$ (-65.9)	$1.7129 \pm 0.06$ (-70.5)	1.6082 ± 0.15 (-72.3)	1.3987± 0.06 (-75.9)
Total Phaeophytin (µg/mL)	$9.4955 \pm 0.18$	$3.5767 \pm 0.06$ (-62.3)	$3.2105 \pm 0.12$ (-66.1)	3.0572 ± 0.12 (-67.8)	2.5198 ± 0.04 (-73.4)
Carotenoid (µg/ml)	$0.2043 \pm 0.058$	$0.1725 \pm 0.06$ (-15.5)	0.1683 ± 0.054 (-17.6)	0.1573 ± 0.05 (-23.0)	0.1425 ± 0.106 (-30.2)

Data represents the average of three samples analyzed separately  $\pm$  S.D values in brackets represent or %age decrease (-) compared to control.

#### IMPACT OF AQUEOUS SULPHUR DIOXIDE ON BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF

Parameter	Concentrations (ppm)							
	Control	250	500	750	1000			
Protein (mg/mL)	$0.0105 \pm 0.108$	$0.0093 \pm 0.108$ (-11.4)	0.0088±0.05 (-16.1)	0.0079±0.105 (-24.7)	0.005±0.106 (-52.3)			
Amino acid (mg/mL)	$0.0396 \pm 0.014$	$0.0319 \pm 0.010$ (-19.4)	$0.0281 \pm 0.010$ (-29.0)	$0.0269 \pm 0.006$	0.0247±0.004 (-37.6)			

Table 3. Impact of different concentrations of sulphur dioxide on protein and amino acid content

Data represents the average of three samples analyzed separately  $\pm$  S.D. Values in brackets represent %age decrease (-) compared to control.

Table	4. Imp	act of	different	concentrations of	of aq	ueous s	ulphur	dioxide	on Fre	ee Sugars	and	starch	content

Parameter		Concentrations	Concentrations (ppm)						
	Control	250	500	750	1000				
Starch (mg/mL)	$0.2503 \pm 0.04$	$0.2351 \pm 0.04$ (-6.0)	$0.2093 \pm 0.02$ (-16.3)	$0.179 \pm 0.01$ (-28.4)	0.1508 ± 0.03 (-39.7)				
Free Sugars (mg/mL)	$0.129 \pm 0.007$	0.1494 ± 0.007 (+15.8)	0.1778 ± .008 (+37.8)	0.2286 ± 0.008 (+77.2)	0.238 ± 0.008 (+84.4)				

Data represents the average of three samples analyzed separately  $\pm$  S.D values in brackets represent %age increase (+) or %age decrease (-) compared to control.

be 15.5%, 17.6%, 23.0% and 30.2% at 250 ppm, 500 ppm, 750 ppm and 1000 ppm  $SO_2$ , comparison to the of control.

Table 3 shows the impact of aqueous SO<sub>2</sub> on protein and amino acid content of Rumex hestata. The protein content was observed to decrease by 11.2%, 16.1%, 24.7% and 52.3% at 250 ppm, 500 ppm, 750 ppm, and 1000 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations respectively when compared to control as has also been advocated by Godzik and Linskin (1974), Malhotra and Sarkar (1979), Prasad and Rao (1982) and Yang (1973). Higher concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> possibly break enzymes and protein disulphide bonds into thiosulphonates and thiols this might be the reason for observed decrease in proteins in the present study. With regard to amino acid content of Rumex hestata, again a decrease of 19.4%, 29.6%, 32.0% and 37.6% was observed at 250 ppm, 500 ppm 750 ppm, and 1000 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> respectively. Probable reasons for such a decline in amino acid could be the disturbance in their synthesis, as SO<sub>2</sub> affects whole N<sub>2</sub>- metabolism of plants. Another cause of decline of amino acids might be the loss of ultra structural organization of the cells and destruction of riboromes, as was opined by Godzik and Linskin (1974), Mlodzsionowski and Bailobok (1977), Soikkeli and Tuovinnen (1979) and Yang (1973).

Starch content of *Rumex hestata* was observed to decrease by 6.0%, 16.3%, 28.4% and 39.7% on exposure to250 ppm, 500 ppm, 750 ppm, and 1000 ppm

SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations respectively (Table 4).

As against chlorophyll, carotenoids, phaeophytin, protein, amino acid and starch, *Rumax hestata* showed a general increase in its free sugar content. An increase of 15.8%, 37.8%, 77.2% and 84.4% was observed in free sugars content of Rumex *hestata* at 250 ppm, 500 ppm, 750 ppm and 1000 ppm  $SO_2$  respectively (Table 4). This increase could be attributed to the breakdown of polysaccharides by the action of hydrolytic enzymes that get activated on  $SO_2$  treatment (Farooq and Beg, 1982).

### REFERENCES

- Agrawal, M., Singh, B., Rajput, M., Marshal, F. and Bell, J.N. 2003. Effect of air pollution on peri-urban agriculture: a case study. *Environ Pollut*. 126 (3): 323-329.
- Agrawal, S.B., Innes, J.L. and Haron, A.H. 2000. Research on "air pollution impacts on Indian forests". Air Pollution and The Forests of Developing and Rapidly Industrializing Regions. 165-187.
- Ali, A.E. 1993. Damage to plants due to industrial pollution and their use as bioindicators in Egypt. *Environmental Pollution*. 81 : 251-255.
- Beig, M.U. and Farooq, M. 1988. SO<sub>2</sub> resistance of Indian trees II Experimental evaluation and metabolic profile. *Water, Air & Soil pollution.* 40 : 317-326.
- Chan, K.Y., Li, C.K., Lai, C.K., Ng, S.F. and Chan, A. Y. 2003. Infantile isolated sulphite oxidase deficiency in a Chinese family : a rare neurodegenerative disorder. *Hong Kong Med. J.* 8 : 279-282.
- Duxbury, A.C. and Yentech, C.S. 1956. Plankton pigment

monographs. J. Mar Res. 15 : 19-101.

- Farooq, M. and Beig, M.U. 1982. Effect of acute level of sulphur dioxide on barley seedlings. *Indian J Environ. Health* 24 : 215-21.
- Farooq, M.and Beig, M.U. 1980. Effect of aqueous SO<sub>2</sub> on the membrane permeability of common Indian tree leaves. *New Botanist* 7 : 213-217.
- Ganai, B.A. 2003. *Sulphite oxidase from Malva sylvestris*. Ph.D. Thesis, Deptt. of Biochemistry, Univ. of Kashmir.
- Ganai, B.A., Masood, A., Amin, S., Iqbal, J. and Hamid, R. 1996. Effect of gaseous air pollutants on enzyme activities. *Oriental Science*. 83-87.
- Godzik, S. and Linskens, H.F.1974. Concentration changes of free amino acids in pry bean leaves after continuous and interrupted sulphur dioxide fumigation and recovery. *Environmental Pollution*. 7 : 25-38.
- Hao, J., Li, J., Duan, L., He, K. and Dai, W. 2002. Valuation of forest damage cost from SO<sub>2</sub> emission: a case study in Hunan Province, China. *HuanJing Ke Xue*. 23 (6) : 1-5.
- Huang, Longhin, Murray, Frank, Yang and Xiaohong 1994 a. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 48 : 163-178.
- Huang, Longbin, Murray, Frank, Yang and Xiaohong 1994 b. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 47 : 335-351.
- Holopainen, T., Anttonen, S., Wulff, A., Palomaki, V. and Karenlanpi, L. 1992. Comparative effects of gaseous pollutants, acidic deposition and mineral deficiencies: structural changes in the cells of forest plants. *Agriculture, Ecosystem & Environ.*42: 365-398.
- Hocking, D. and Hocking, M.B. 1977. *Environ. Pollution*. 9 : 57-64.
- Jones, H.C., Weatherfor, F.P., Noggle, J.C., Lee, N.T and Cunnigham, J.R. 1979. Power plant siting. Assessing of SO<sub>2</sub> effect on Agriculture. *Air Pollution Control Assoc. Pittsburgh, 72nd Annual Meeting Cincinnatti, USA. Reprint No.* 79-135.
- Khan, A.A. and Malhotra, S.S. 1977. Effect of aqueous SO on Pine needle glycolipids. *Phytochemistry*.16 :539<sup>2</sup> 543.
- Kumar, S. and Prakash, C.B. 1977). Air pollution from SO<sub>2</sub> emission in India. *Chemical Age of India*. 28: 465-472.
- Longaves, R., Gomory, D., Paule, L., Blada, I., Popescu, F., Mankovska, B., Muller-Starck, G. Schbert, R., Percy, K., Szaro, R.C. and Karnosky, D.E. 2004. Genetic effects of air pollution on forest tree species of the Carpathian Mountains. *Environ Pollut.* 130 (1) : 85-92.
- Legge, A.H., Jager, H.J. and Krupa, S.V. 1998. Sulphur dioxide. In: *Recognition of Air Pollution Injury to Vegetation: A Pictorial Atlas*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.(ed. R.B. Flagler). pp.3-1-3-42. Air & Waste Management Asso. Pittsburgh.
- Lee, Y.P. and Takahashi, T. 1966. An improved colorimetric determination of amino acids with the use of ninhydrin. *Anal.Biochem.*14 : 71-77.
- Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr; A.L. and Randall, R.J. 1951. Protein measurement with Folin-phenol

reagent. J. Biol. Chem 193 : 265-275.

- Mlodzionowski, F. and Bialobok, S. 1977. The effect of sulphur dioxide on ultrastructural organization of larch needles. *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Po-Ioniae*. 46 : 629-634.
- Montgomery, R. 1982. Determination of glycogen by Phenol-sulphuric acid method. *Arch. Bioch. Biophy.* 67: 378-386
- Noji, M., Saito, M., Nakamura, M., Aono, M., Saji, H. and Saito, K. 2001. Cysteine-synthase over expression in tobacco confers tolerance to sulphur containing environmental pollutants. *Plant Physiology*. 126:973-980.
- Pavgi, S., Farooq, M., Venkateshwar, C. and Beg, M. U.(1991). Physiological and Biochemical effects of  $SO_2$  on wheat varieties. *Environment & Ecology*. 9 : 760-765.
- Prasad, B.J. and Rao, D.N. 1982. Relative sensitivity *of* a leguminous and a cereal crop to sulphur dioxide pollution. *Environ. Pollution.* 29 (Ser.A) : 57-70.
- Peiser, G.D. and Yang, S.F. 1977. Chlorophyll destruction by the bisulphite oxygen system. *Plant physiology*. 60 : 277-281.
- Pahlich, E., Jager, H. and Steubling, L. 1972. Bee influssing der aktivakion von glutamate dehydregenase, glutamate *s*ynthetase,erberkeimligen.dural sulpherdioxide. *Angerwandte Botanik*. 48 : 183-197.
- Riga-Karandinos, A.N. and Saitanis, C. 2005. Comparative assessment of ambient air quality in two typical coastal cities in Greece. *Chemosphere*. 59 (8): 1125-36.
- Ruan, A., Min, H., Meng, Z. and Lu, Z. 2003. Protective effects of sea-buckthorn seed oil on mouse injury induced by sulphur dioxide inhalation. *Inhal Toxiecol.* 15 (10) : 1053-10558.
- Russel, C.E., Pittman, J., Darrall, N.M., Williams, L.E. and Hall, J.L. 1999). Effects of air pollutants on proton' and sucrose transport at the plasma membrane of *Ricinus communis*. *Plant, Cell and Environment*. 22 (2): 221-227.
- Seema, P., Farooq. M., Venkateshwar. C. and Beig, M.U. 1991. *Environ. and Ecology*. 9 (3) : 760-765.
- Strain, H.H., Bengavin, T.C. and Walter, A.S. 1971. Analytical procedure for isolation, identification, estil11ation, investigation of chlorophyll. In: *Methods in Enzymology*. (A.S. Pietro.ed), New York, Academic Press, 23 : 452-476.
- Saunders, P.J.W. 1966. The toxicity of sulphur dioxide to Diplocarpon rosaewolf causing black spot of roses. *Ann. Appl. Bioi.* 58 : 103-109.
- Venkateshwar, C., Pavgi, S., Farooq, M. and Beig, M. U. 1992. Cuticular and anatomical changes in maize plant on exposure to SO<sub>2</sub>. Proc. *Nat. Acad. Sci. India.* 62 (b) II : 512-517.
- Vogelmann, F.A. and Borret, N. 1988. Effect of sulphur dioxide on photosynthetic pigments. *Environmental Experimental Botany*. 28 : 19-25

# IMPACT OF AQUEOUS SULPHUR DIOXIDE ON BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF

- Vang, S.F. 1973. Destruction of tryptophan during the aerobic oxidation of sulphite ions. *Environ. Res.* 6 : 395-402.
- Vernon, L.P. 1960. Spectrophotometric determination of chlorophylls and phaeophytins in plant extracts. *AnalyJical Chern.* 32 : 1144-1150.
- Wang, C., Xing, D., Zeng, L., Ding, C. and Chen, Q. 2005. Effect of artificial rain and SO<sub>2</sub> on characteristics of delayed light emission. *Luminescence*. 20 (1): 51-56.
- Wu, D. and Meng. Z. 2003. Effect of SO<sub>2</sub> inhalation on the glutathione redox system in mice and protective

role of sea-buckthorn seed oil. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol.* 45 (3): 423-428.

- Winner, W.E., Mooney, H.A. and Goldstein, R.A. 1985. Sulphur dioxide and vegetation. *Physiology, Ecology* and Policy Issues, Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, California, pp. 96-J 17.
- Zhang, I., Huang, S., Peng, S. and Vergara, B.S. 1994. Effect of elevated UV-B Radiation on abscisic acid and indole-cteic acid content of rice leaves. *Int. Rice Res. News.* 19 : 56-57.