Jr. of Industrial Pollution Control 33(S2)(2017) pp 1281-1284 www.icontrolpollution.com Review Article

# OSCILLATION OF SECOND ORDER OF NEUTRAL DYNAMIC EQUATION WITH DISTRIBUTED DEVIATING ARGUMENTS

# BHUVANESWARI A.K.<sup>1\*</sup>, THAMIZHSUDAR M<sup>1</sup>, LAKSHMINARAYANA V<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Department of Mathematics, Aarupadai Veedu institute of technology Vinayaka Missions University, Paiyanoor-603 104, Chennai, India

<sup>2</sup>Principal, Aarupadai Veedu institute of technology Vinayaka Missions University, Paiyanoor-603 104, Chennai, India

(Received 17 June, 2017; accepted 22 August, 2017)

Key words: Oscillation, Dynamic equation, Time scales, Deviating arguments

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we establish some oscillation criteria for second order neutral dynamic equation with deviating arguments of the form:

$$\left(r(t)\Big((y)t)+p(t)y(\tau(t))\Big)^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}+\int_{a}^{b}f(t,y(\delta(t,\xi)))\Delta\xi=0$$

On an arbitrary time scale T. An example illustrating the main result is included.

# INTRODUCTION

In a neutral dynamic equation with deviating arguments, the highest order derivative of the unknown function appears with and without deviating arguments. These equations find numerous applications in natural sciences and technology.

In this paper, we study the oscillatory behaviour of second order neutral dynamic equation with distributed deviating arguments of the form

$$\left(r(t)\Big((y)t) + p(t)y(\tau(t))\Big)^{\Delta}\Big)\right)^{\Delta}$$

$$+\int_{a}^{b} f(t, y(\delta(t, \xi)))\Delta\xi = 0$$
(1)

where 0 < a < b,  $\tau(t) : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$  is right dense continuous function such that  $\tau(t) \le t$  and

$$\begin{split} \tau(t) &\to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty, \ \delta(t,\xi) \colon \mathbb{T} \times [a,b] \to \mathbb{T} \text{ is right dense} \\ \text{continuous function decreasing with respect to } \xi, \\ \delta(t,\xi) &\leq t \text{ for } \xi \in [a,b], \ \delta(t,\xi) \to \infty, \text{ as } r(t) > 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq p(t) < 1 \\ \text{are real valued right dense continuous function} \\ \text{defined on } \mathbb{T}, p(t) \text{ is increasing and } (\mathrm{H}_1) \colon \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(t)} \Delta t = \infty \text{ ,} \\ (\mathrm{H}_2) \colon f : T \times R \to R \text{ is continuous function such} \end{split}$$

that uf(t,u) > 0 for all  $u \neq 0$  and there exists a positive function q(t) defined on  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $|f(t,u)| \ge q(t)|u|$ .

A non trivial function y(t) is said to be a solution of (1) if

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) + p(t) y[\tau(t)] &\in C_{rd}[t_y, \infty] \\ r(t) (y(t) + p(t) y(\tau(t)))^{\Delta} &\in C_{rd}[t_y, \infty] \end{aligned}$$
 and

for  $t_v \ge t_0$  and y(t) satisfies equation (1) for  $t_v \ge t_0$ .

A non trivial solution of Equation (1) is called oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, otherwise it is called non oscillatory (Bohner and Peterson, 2001; Bohner and Peterson, 2003; Bohner and Saker, 2004; Akin, et al., 2007).

We note that if T = R we have  $\sigma(t) = t$ ,  $\mu(t) = 0$  then equation (1) becomes second order neutral differential equation

$$\left(r(t)\Big((y)t) + p(t)y(\tau(t))\Big)\right)^{b}$$
$$+ \int_{a}^{b} f(t, y(\delta(t, \xi)))d\xi = 0$$

If 
$$T = N$$
 we have  $\sigma(t) = t + 1$ ,  $\mu(t) = 1$ 

then equation (1) becomes

$$\begin{split} &\Delta(r(t)(\Delta(y(t)+p(t)y(\tau(t))))) \\ &+ \sum_{\xi=a}^{b-1} f(t,y(\delta(t,\xi))) = 0 \end{split}$$

For more papers related to neutral dynamic equations with distributed deviating arguments, we refer the reader to [8,9]. The books [1,2] gives time scale calculus and its applications.

# MAIN RESULTS

Now we state and prove our main result.

## Theorem 4.1

Assume that  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold. In addition, assume that  $r^{\Delta}(t) \ge 0$ . Then every solution of Equation (1) oscillates if the inequality

$$\left(r\left(x^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}\right)(t) + A(t)r\left(x^{\Delta}\right)\left(\delta(t,a)\right) \le 0$$
(2)

where 
$$A(t) = \frac{(b-a)q(t)(1-p(\delta(t,a)))}{r(\delta(t,a))} \left(\frac{\delta(t,b)}{2}\right)$$

and  $x(t) = y(t) + p(t)y(\tau(t))$  (3)

has no eventually positive solution.

# Proof

Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of Equation (1).

Without loss of generality assume that y(t) > 0 for  $t \ge t_0$ , then  $y(\tau(t)) > 0$ 

and  $y(\delta(t,\xi)) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_1 > t_0$  and  $b \ge \xi \ge a$ .

From Equation (1) and  $(H_2)$ , we have

$$\left(r(x^{\Delta})\right)^{\Delta}(t) + \int_{a}^{b} q(t)y(\delta(t,\xi))\Delta\xi \le 0 \text{ for all } t \ge t_1 \qquad (4)$$

and  $(r(x^{\Delta}))(t)$  is an eventually decreasing function. Now we claim that  $r(x^{\Delta}) > 0$  eventually.

If not, there exists a  $t_2 \ge t_1$  such that  $r(x^{\Delta}(t_2)) = c < 0$ ,

then we have

$$r(t)x^{\Delta}(t) \le c \text{ for } t \ge t_2$$
  
and it follows that  $x^{\Delta}(t) \le \frac{c}{r(t)}$  (5)

Now integrating Equation (5) from  $t_2$  to t and using  $(H_1)$ , we obtain  $x(t) \le x(t_2) + c \int_{t_2}^{t} \frac{1}{r(s)} \Delta s \to -\infty$ as  $t \to \infty$ , which contradicts the fact that x(t) > 0 for all  $t \ge t_0$ . Hence  $r(x^{\Delta}(t))$  is positive. Therefore there is a  $t_2 \ge t_1$  such that  $x^{\Delta}(t) > 0$ ,  $x^{\Delta}(t) > 0$ ,  $(r(x^{\Delta}))(t) > 0$ ,  $(r(x^{\Delta}))^{\Delta}(t) < 0$ ,  $t \ge t_2$  (6) Now  $x(t) = y(t) + p(t)y(\tau(t))$   $y(t) = x(t) - p(t)y(\tau(t))$   $= x(t) - p(t)[x(\tau(t)) - p(\tau(t))y(\tau(t))]$   $\ge x(t) - p(t)x(\tau(t))$  $\ge (1 - p(t))x(t)$ 

Therefore 
$$y(\delta(t,\xi)) \ge (1 - p(\delta(t,\xi)))x(\delta(t,\xi)),$$
 (7)  
 $t \ge t_4 \ge t_3, \xi \in [a,b]$ 

Multiplying Equation (7) by q(t), then  $q(t)y(\delta(t,\xi) \ge q(t)(1-p(\delta(t,\xi)))x(\delta(t,\xi)),$  $t \ge t_4 \ge t_3, \xi \in [a,b]$ (8)

Integrating Equation (8) from a to b, we get

$$\int_{a}^{b} q(t) y(\delta(t,\xi)) \Delta \xi \ge \int_{a}^{b} q(t) (1 - p(\delta(t,\xi))) x(\delta(t,\xi)) \Delta \xi$$
(9)

Substituting (9) in (4) we obtain,

$$(r(x^{\Delta}))^{\Delta}(t) + \int_{a}^{b} q(t)(1 - p(\delta(t,\xi)))x(\delta(t,\xi))\Delta\xi \le 0$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

.....

Since  $x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) \leq 0$  for some  $t \geq t_4$ ,

$$x(t) = x(t_4) + \int_{t_4}^{t} x^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s \ge (t - t_4) x^{\Delta}(t) \ge \frac{t}{2} x^{\Delta}(t), \quad t \ge t_5 \ge 2t_4$$

Therefore

BHUVANESWARI ET AL.

$$\begin{aligned} x(\delta(t,\xi)) &\geq \left(\frac{\delta(t,b)}{2}\right) x^{\Delta}(\delta(t,\xi)), \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\delta(t,b)}{2}\right) x^{\Delta}(\delta(t,\xi)), \\ t &\geq t_6 \geq t_5, \xi \in [a,b] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the last inequality in Equation (10), we get

$$\begin{split} & \left(r(x^{\Delta})\right)^{\Delta}(t) , \\ & + \int_{a}^{b} q(t)(1 - p(\delta(t,\xi))) \left(\frac{\delta(t,b)}{2}\right) (x^{\Delta}(\delta(t,\xi))\Delta\xi \leq 0 \\ & \left(r(x^{\Delta})\right)^{\Delta}(t) \\ & + (b - a)q(t)(1 - p(\delta(t,a))) \left(\frac{\delta(t,b)}{2}\right) (x^{\Delta}(\delta(t,a))) \leq 0, \\ & \text{or} \\ & \left(\frac{r(x^{\Delta})}{2}\right)^{\Delta}(t) + (b - a)q(t)(1 - p(\delta(t,a))) \\ & \left(\frac{\delta(t,b)}{2}\right) (r(x^{\Delta})\delta(t,a)) \leq 0 \end{split}$$

which is the inequality (2).

#### OSCILLATION OF SECOND ORDER OF NEUTRAL DYNAMIC EQUATION WITH DISTRIBUTED DEVIATING ARGUMENTS

As a consequence of this, we have a contradiction and therefore every solution of Equation (1) oscillates.

#### Theorem 2

Assume that  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold. In addition, assume that  $r^{\Delta}(t) \ge 0$ ,  $\delta(t,\xi)$  is increasing with respect to *t* and there exists a positive right dense continuous,  $\Delta$  differentiable function  $\alpha(t)$  such

that 
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{t_0} \int_{t_0} \left( \alpha(s)Q(s) - \frac{((\alpha^{-1}(s))_+)^{-r}r(\delta(s,b))}{4\alpha(s)} \right) = \infty$$
(11)

where  $(\alpha^{\Delta}(t))_{+} = \max \{ \alpha^{\Delta}(t), 0 \}$  and

 $Q(s) = (b - a)q(s)p(s)(1 - p(\delta(s, a)))$ . Then every solution of Equation (1) is oscillatory (Higgins, 2008; Saker, 2010; Thandapani, *et al.*, 2011).

## Proof

Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1).

Without loss of generality assume that y(t) > 0 for  $t \ge t_0$ , then

 $y(\tau(t)) > 0$  and  $y(\delta(t,\xi)) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_1 > t_0$ 

and  $b \ge \xi \ge a$ .

Define the function  $z(t) = \alpha(t) \frac{r(t)x^{\Delta}(t)}{x(\delta(t,b))}$ ,  $t \ge t_4$ 

Then z(t) > 0. Now

$$z^{\Delta}(t) = \alpha(t) \left( \frac{r(t)x^{\Delta}(t)}{x(\delta(t,b))} \right)^{\Delta} + \left( r(t)x^{\Delta}(t) \right)^{\sigma} \left( \frac{x(\delta(t,b))\alpha^{\Delta}(t) - \alpha(t)(x(\delta(t,b)))^{\Delta}}{x(\delta(t,b))(x^{\sigma}(\delta(t,b)))} \right)$$

$$\leq -\alpha(t) \mathbf{Q}(t) + \frac{\alpha^{\Delta}(t) z^{\sigma}(t)}{\alpha^{\sigma}(t)} - \frac{\alpha(t)}{(\alpha^{\sigma}(t))^2 r(\delta(t,b))} (z^{\sigma}(t))^2$$

$$\leq -\alpha(t) Q(t) + \frac{\alpha^{\Delta}(t) z^{\sigma}(t)}{\alpha^{\sigma}(t)} - \frac{\alpha(t)(r(t) x^{\Delta}(t))^{\sigma} x(\delta(t,b))^{\Delta}}{x(\delta(t,b)) x^{\sigma}(\delta(t,b))}$$
$$\leq -\left(\alpha(t) Q(t) - \frac{(\alpha^{\Delta}(t))_{+}^{2} r(\delta(t,b))}{4\alpha(t)}\right)^{2}$$

Integrating from  $t_7$  to t, we obtain

$$z(t_7) \ge \int_{t_7}^t \left( \alpha(s)Q(s) - \frac{(\alpha^{\Delta}(s))_+^2 r(\delta(s,b))}{4\alpha(s)} \right) \Delta s'$$

which contradicts Equation (11)

Hence the proof.

**Example:** Consider the following second order neutral dynamic equation (Candan, 2011; Candan, 2013).

$$\left[\left(y(t) + \frac{t+a-1}{t+a}y(\tau(t))\right)^{\Delta}\right]^{\Delta} + \int_{a}^{b} t^{\frac{-1}{3}}y(t-\xi)\Delta\xi = 0$$
(12)

Here 
$$r(t) = 1$$
,  $p(t) = \frac{t+a-1}{t+a}$ ,  $q(t) = t^{\frac{1}{3}}$ 

## CONCLUSION

All the conditions of Theorem (2) are satisfied.

Now 
$$Q(s) = (b-a)s^{\frac{-1}{3}}\left(1-\frac{s-1}{s}\right), = (b-a)s^{\frac{-1}{3}}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)$$

Taking  $\alpha(s) = s$ , we see that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{t_0} \int_{t_0}^t \left( \alpha(s)Q(s) - \frac{((\alpha^{\Delta}(s))_+)^2 r(\delta(s,b))}{4\alpha(s)} \right)$$
$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{t_0} \int_{t_0}^t \left( (b-a)s^{\frac{-1}{3}} - \frac{1}{4s} \right) \Delta s = \infty$$

Therefore (12) is oscillatory.

## REFERENCES

- Akin, E., Bohner, M. and Saker, S.H. (2007). Oscillation criteria for a certain class of second order Emden fowler dynamic equations. *Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis*. 27 : 1-12.
- Bohner, M. and Peterson, A. (2001). Dynamic equations on time scales-An introduction with applications. Boston, Birkhauser, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY10010, USA.
- Bohner, M. and Peterson, A. (2003). Advances in dynamic equations on timescales", Boston, Birkhauser, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, Y10010, USA.
- Bohner, M, Saker, S.H. (2004). Oscillation criteria for perturbed nonlinear dynamic equations. *Mathematical and Computer Modeling*. 40 : 249-260.
- Candan, T. (2011). Oscillation of second order nonlinear neutral dynamic equation on time scales with distributed deviating arguments. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62(11) : 4118-4125.
- Candan, T. (2013). Oscillation criteria for second -order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations with distributed deviating arguments on time scales. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 1:5.
- Higgins, R.J. (2008). Oscillation theory of dynamic equations on time scales. a Dissertation, University of Nebraska, Lincoln.

- Saker, S. (2010). Oscillation theory of dynamic equations on time scales-second and third orders. Germany, LAP Lambert Academic publishing, Dudweiler Landstr, 99, 66123 Saarbrucken, Germany.
- Thandapani, E., Piramanantham V. and Pinelas, S. (2011). Oscilation criteria for second order neutral delay dynamic equation with mixed nonlinearities. *Advances in Difference Equations*. p. 1-14.