Jr. of Industrial Pollution Control 23 (1)(2007) pp119-123 © Enviromedia Printed in India. All rights reserved

# SOURCES AND CHARACTERISTICS OF GALVANIZ-ING INDUSTRY EFFLUENT

#### J. MAJUMDAR, B.K. BARUAH1\* AND K. DUTTA\*\*

Department of Zoology, B. Borooah College, Guwahati 781 008, Assam. \* Department of Zoology, Cotton College, Guwahati 781 001, India \*\* Department of Zoology, Gauhati University, Guwahati 781 014, India

Key words : Galvanizing Industry, Effluent, Sources, Physicochemical characteristics

#### ABSTRACT

Present communication deals with the sources and physicochemical characteristics of effluent of Galvanizing Industry. Analysis of effluent revealed acidic pH, high BOD and COD load. The effluent contained number of metallic pollutants comprising Fe, Zn, Pb and Cr.

#### INTRODUCTION

Pollution of environment by industrial effluent has been a major concern in recent years. The raw materials, variety of chemicals and metals and the technologies used are the primary factors determining the quality of released effluent of an industry. The components of the effluent contributed to the characteristics of the effluent and capable of altering the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of receiving ecosystem. The study of the industry effluent helps to understand quality of effluent and its impact on ecosystem.

Studies on physicochemical characteristics of industrial effluent were carried out by Dhaneswar *et al.* (1970), Mohanrao and Subrahmanium (1972); Agarwal and Kumar (1978); Choubey *et al.* (1986); Bhaskaran *et al.* (1989); Shaw *et al.* (1990); Sinha (1993); Baruah *et al.* (1996), Amudha and Mahalingan (1999); Das *et al.* (2000); Sundarmoorthy *et al.* (2000) and recently by Kumar *et al.* (2001). Due to dearth of literature on galvanizing industry, the present investigation was carried out to study the sources and physicochemical characteristics of galvanizing industry effluent.

#### Sources of effluent

There are two common galvanization methods i.e. Hot dip method and Electrolytic methods. The hot dip method is predominant in utility specially for galvanization of large size materials. During this process the mild steel materials are subjected to series of treatments including initial cleaning by bathing in acids, in water and in alkali followed by baths in molten lead, in ammonium compound ,in molten zinc and finally washed in hot water. Later, the materials are packaged and dispatched to market. The effluent is generated from the bathing, washing and cooling units and is almost regular in flow. The bathing units are emptied for the rejection of liquors as effluent after they assume the limiting concentration of raw materials. The volume of affluent depends upon the nature and quantity of product of galvanization.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The effluent was collected in sterilized plastic container from the outlet of effluent treatment plant of a galvanizing industry located at the periphery of Guwahati city. The samples were brought to laboratory and analysed by following standard methodology of APHA (1985) and Trivedy and Goel (1986). The study was carried out monthly for a period of two years ie.2003 and 2004.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The result of physicochemical analysis is presented in Table 1-2. The pH value indicated extremely acidic nature of effluent due to presence of acids originated primarily from the cleaning units of the industry. The high conductivity value suggested the occurrence of large amount of inorganic compounds in the effluent. The elevated value of turbidity also indicated the presence of sizable amount of suspended solids derived from washing and bathing units of the industry. These observations were in the line of Das *et al.* (2000) in waste water of open cast mining and Sundarmoorthy *et al.* (2000) in fertilizer factory effluent.

The analysis of effluent recorded high load of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD). The BOD load was associated with the presence of organic materials perhaps in the form of organic waste and the COD load was linked with the oxidisable chemicals in the effluent. Similar findings were reported by Mohanrao and Subrahmanium (1972); Sinha (1993); Baruah *et al.* (1996); Das *et al.* (2003), in the effluent of dairy, sugar, paper and oil industry respectively.

The analysis further revealed large amount of iron and zinc and marginal amount of lead and chromium. All these metallic substances originated from the raw materials ,bathing and washing units of the industry. The presence of different metals contributed to the toxicity of the galvanizing industry effluent and to the living organism also. Presence of toxic metals was earlier reported by Shaw *et al.* (1990); Baruah *et al.* (1996); Devi *et al.* (2001) in the effluent of chloro-alkali, paper and galvanizing industry respectively.

From the above findings it can be concluded that the galvanizing industry

	Nei	SUILS OF ALIALYSIS OF	Jalvanizhig muust	. (cooz) mannem		
Characteristics	January 2004	February 2004	March 2004	April 2004	May 2004	June 2004
pH	$3.13 \pm 0.159$	$3 \pm 0.093$	2.89 ±0.104	2.99 ±0.076	2.9 ±0.051	$3 \pm 0.109$
BOD mg/L	$48.5 \pm 2.167$	$49.17 \pm 3188$	$36.08 \pm 20248$	$38.5 \pm 0.837$	$36.27 \pm 2.33$	$45.67 \pm 2.58$
COD mg/L	$1071.5 \pm 21961$	$1009.33 \pm 14.935$	984.83 ±30.727	$993.67 \pm 11.893$	$840.33\ 15.706$	$898.33 \pm 9.438$
Conductance	11709.17	14036.67	12130	13000.89	11835.83	10434.83
mmho/cm	± 483.243	± 112.901	$\pm 84.617$	± 759.76	± 114.91	$\pm 1204.07$
Turbidity NTU	$66.33 \pm 1211$	$67 \pm 1.265$	$62.67 \pm 0.894$	$58.33 \pm 2.422$	$63 \pm 0.894$	$60 \pm 1265$
Iron mg/L	$29.67 \pm 1.751$	$25.17 \pm 1.602$	$26.67 \pm 0.816$	$26.5 \pm 1.049$	$29.33 \pm 1.033$	$31.67 \pm 1.211$
Zinc mg/L	$365.17 \pm 12561$	$373.17 \pm 12.968$	$363.67 \pm 15.358$	$467.67 \pm 11.255$	$437.17 \pm 10.815$	$386 \pm 8.579$
Lead mg/L	$0.33 \pm 0.014$	$0.24 \pm 0.015$	$0.25 \pm 0.011$	$0.178 \pm 0.010$	$0.285 \pm 0.022$	$0.548 \pm 0.027$
Chromium mg/L	$0.04 \pm 0.0075$	$0.05 \pm 0.0081$	$0.055 \pm 0.0104$	$0.04 \pm 0.0103$	$0.03 \pm 0.008$	$0.048 \pm 0.0075$
						Contd
Characteristics	July 2004	August 2004	September 2004	October 2004	November 2004	December 2004
Hd	$3.02 \pm 0.049$	$2.78 \pm 0.064$	$2.89 \pm 0.036$	$2.79 \pm 0.072$	$2.8 \pm 0.116$	$2.86 \pm 0.084$
BOD mg/L	$41.17 \pm 2.137$	$45.17 \pm 1.169$	$40.74 \pm 2.927$	53.22± 2.733	$60.24\pm 3.559$	$41.43 \pm 2.229$
COD mg/L	$898.33 \pm 9.438$	$1001.5\pm 16.0489$	$923.17 \pm 15.955$	$848.33 \pm 5.955$	$897.67 \pm 8.501$	$1044.33 \pm 59.149$
Conductance	15614.17	14780	11236.67	13791.67	14148.33	16018.33
mmho/cm	± 137.565	± 122.257	$\pm 133.866$	± 119.066	$\pm 104.387$	± 154.456
Turbidity NTU	$58.33 \pm 1.032$	53.67 ±1.366	$63.33\pm 2.338$	58.83 ±1.472	$61 \pm 0.894$	58.83 ±0.753
lron mg/L	$21 \pm 1.414$	$32.5 \pm 1.378$	$22.33 \pm 1.861$	$25.5 \pm 1.049$	$29.67 \pm 0.816$	$25.5 \pm 1049$
Zinc mg/L	$277.67 \pm 12.437$	$348.67 \pm 11.553$	$354.67 \pm 11.148$	$283.5 \pm 7.12$	$352.67 \pm 13.736$	$455.33 \pm 11.860$
Lead mg/L	$0.23 \pm 0.027$	$0.295 \pm 0.025$	$0.366 \pm 0.033$	$0.415 \pm 0.015$	$0.46 \pm 0.028$	$0.278 \pm 0.017$
Chromium mg/L	$0.048 \pm 0.0089$	$0.05 \pm 0.0075$	$0.03 \pm 0.009$	$0.06 \pm 0.008$	$0.05 \pm 0.008$	$0.03 \pm 0.008$

Table 1

	Ι	Results of analysis of	Galvanizing Industry	r Effluent (2004).		
Characteristics	January 2004	February 2004	March 2004	April 2004	May 2004	June 2004
Hd	$3.05 \pm 0.058$	$3.13 \pm 0.416$	2.86 ±0.137	2.88 ±0.115	2.97 ±0.1	$2.82 \pm 0.081$
BOD mg/L	$47.17 \pm 1.169$	$37.5 \pm 1.871$	$39.33 \pm 1.966$	$46 \pm 1.414$	$35.67 \pm 1.366$	$34.67 \pm 1.966$
COD mg/L	$1012.5 \pm 9.544$	$1072 \pm 11.296$	995 ±21.716	$1018.17 \pm 7.757$	$988 \pm 6.481$	$1018.83 \pm 9.438$
Conductance	12978.33	16263.33	16386.67	17030	16831.67	15066.67
mmho/cm	± 115.311	$\pm 173.743$	± 164.884	$\pm 84.617$	$\pm 116.347$	± 88.468
<b>Turbidity NTU</b>	$62.67 \pm 0.816$	$60 \pm 0.894$	$57.17 \pm 1.472$	$61.83 \pm 1.602$	$56.33 \pm 1.366$	$61.33 \pm 1.506$
lron mg/L	$20.83 \pm 1.169$	$23 \pm 1.414$	$28.5 \pm 1.049$	$24.83 \pm 1.472$	$24.33 \pm 1.033$	$27.83 \pm 0.752$
Zinc mg/L	$486.5 \pm 8.450$	$384.17 \pm 8.565$	$345.17 \pm 5.231$	$304.33 \pm 10.405$	$376.17 \pm 9.948$	$358.83 \pm 10.998$
Lead mg/L	$0.52 \pm 0.014$	$0.42 \pm 0.031$	$0.36 \pm 0.017$	$0.30 \pm 0.026$	$0.41 \pm 0.043$	$0.33 \pm 0.028$
Chromium mg/L	$0.065 \pm 0.005$	$0.05 \pm 0.009$	$0.056 \pm 0.014$	$0.028 \pm 0.006$	$0.048 \pm 0.008$	$0.06 \pm 0.005$
						Contd
Characteristics	July 2004	August 2004	September 2004	October 2004	November 2004	December 2004
Hd	$2.96 \pm 0.033$	$3.04 \pm 0.048$	$2.87 \pm 0.043$	$2.99 \pm 0.081$	$3.01 \pm 0.028$	$3.02 \pm 0.045$
BOD mg/L	$58.17 \pm 1.472$	$59.83 \pm 1.169$	$46 \pm 1.095$	$36.5 \pm 0.049$	$41.5 \pm 0.548$	$43.67 \pm 1.033$
COD mg/L	$1071.83 \pm 24.42$	$1003\pm 14.54$	$999.67 \pm 15.37$	$1075.5 \pm 11.04$	$859.3 \pm 11.34$	$1004.67 \pm 14.39$
Conductance	17121.33	15040.5	14144	9232.50	13114.33	11124.1
mmho/cm	$\pm 153.188$	± 110.659	± 73.316	± 83.77	± 494.369	± 320.786
Turbidity NTU	$65.17 \pm 2.48$	$62.67 \pm 1.86$	$58.5 \pm 2.43$	$54.67 \pm 2.16$	62.67 ±2.65	$62.17 \pm 2.08$
Iron mg/L	$28.83 \pm 1.471$	29.66 ±2.58	$21.66 \pm 2.160$	$23.17 \pm 2.136$	21.33 ±1.75	26.67 ±1.75
Zinc mg/L	$262.67 \pm 12.50$	$498.5 \pm 15.15$	$359.5 \pm 13.62$	$361.17 \pm 17.67$	$461.33 \pm 13.84$	$284.17 \pm 13.48$
Lead mg/L	$0.33 \pm 0.023$	$0.461 \pm 0.038$	$0.365 \pm 0.039$	$0.28 \pm 0.026$	$0.176 \pm 0.024$	$0.38 \pm 0.014$
Chromium mg/L	$0.045 \pm 0.01$	$0.058 \pm 0.012$	$0.05 \pm 0.012$	$0.068 \pm 0.009$	$0.04 \pm 0.009$	$0.06 \pm 0.008$

MAJUMDAR ET AL.

effluent is toxic in nature, capable of altering the quality of receiving ecosystem and harmful to the living organisms both plants and animals. The effluent requires appropriate treatment to reduce its toxicity to a minimum level before releasing into nearby areas.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are greatful to University Grants Commission for the financial assistance and Principal, B. Borooah College, Guwahati for providing facilities to carry out the investigation

#### REFERENCES

- Agarwal, M. and Kumar, H.P. 1978. Physicochemical and physiological assessment of two mercury polluted effluents. Indian J Environ Hlth. 20: 141-155.
- Anudha, P. and Mahalingan, S. 1999. Studies on the effect of dairy effluent on survival and feeding energetic of Cyprinus caprio. J.Environ. Biol. 20 (3): 275-278.
- APHA. 1985. Standard Methods of Examination of Water and Wastewater. 16th Ed.APHA, AWWA, WPCA.

Baruah, B.K., Baruah, D. and Das, M. 1996. Sources and characteristics of Paper mill effluent. Environ. Ecol. 14 (30): 686-689.

Bhaskaran, P., Palanichamy, S. and Arunachalam, S. 1989. Effect of textile dye effluent on feeding energetic ,body composition and oxygen consumption of the fresh water fish Oreochromis mossanbicus. J Ecobiol. 1: 203-214.

Choubey, R., Rao, S.N. and Srinivasan, K.V. 1986. Effluent of Barauni Paper Industries Pvt. Ltd. A case study EdR.N. Trivedy, An environmental Pollution and its Effect on Organism.Bharati Bhavan,Patna, India.

Das, A.C., Ghai, J.K. and Baruah, B.K. 2000. Waste water characteristics of open cast mining of coal. Environ. Ecol. 18 (2): 454-455.

Das, A.C., Baruah, D. and Baruah, B.K. 2003. Sources and characteristics of oil refinery effluent. J.Industrial pollution Control. 19 (2): 307-310.

Dhaneswar, R.S., Rajagopalan, S., Basu, A.K. and Rao, C.S.G. 1970. Characteristics of waste from pulp and paper mills in the Hoogly estuary. Environmental Health. 2:9-12.

Devi, G., Baruah, D. and Baruah, B.K. 2001. Comparative toxicity study of gahaniged iron industry effluent to fresh water fish Cyprinus caprio. Poll. Res. 20 (l): 131-133.

- Kumar, S.R.D., Narayanaswamy, R. and Ramakrishan, K. 2001. Pollution studies of sugar mill effluent - Physico-chemical characteristics and toxic metals. Poll. Res. 20 (1): 93-97.
- Mohanrao, G.J. and Subrahmanium, P.V.R. 1972. Sources, flow and characteristics of Dairy waste. Indian J.Environ Hlth. 14:207-217.

Shaw, B.P., Sahu, A. and Panigrahi, A.K. 1990. Comparative toxicity study of effluent from chloroalkali industry and Hgcl,. Bull.Environ.Contan.Toxicol. 45: 280-287.

Sinha, S.K. 1993. Physico-chemical characteristics of effluent discharged from Lohat sugar factory in Bihar. Environ. Ecol. 11 (2): 265-268.

Sundarmoorthy, P., Sarvaran, S. Subramani, A. and Lakshamanachary, A.S. 2000. Toxicity effect of fertilizer factory effluent on seed germination and seedling growth of some agricultural crops. Poll. Res. 19 (4): 529-533.

Trivedy, R.K. and Goel P.K. 1986. Chemical and Biological Methods for Water Pollution Studies. Environmental Pub. Karad. India

Table 2

# A HANDBOOK OF ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### .S.Kulkarni, S.N.Kaul & R.K.Trivedy

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Concept of EIA, Hierarchy in EIA, Major issues in EIA, Scope for use of Computers in EIA, Scope of Current Research

### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Evolution of EIA Worldwide, Evolution of EIA in India, Classification of Environmental Impacts, Project Screening, Methodology for screening of Projects, Project screening criteria in India, Methodology for site selection, EIA Methodologies, Introduction, Review of EIA methodologies, Checklists, Matrices, Networks, Overlays, Adaptive Environment Assessment & Management, Cost Benefit analysis,Computer Aided EIA, Impact Quantification techniques, Mathamatical Model for EIA, Concept, Air quality Models, Water quality Models, Noise Prediction models, Indicators of Biology & Socioeconomic Environment, Environmental Indices.Enlarged Scope of EIA of Industrial projects

### 3. EIA-AID : A Software Package for Computer Aided EIA

Package Organization, Screening of projects, Ranking of site alternatives. Impact Identification, Prediction of Impacts, Prediction of Impacts on Air Quality, Problem Identification, Theoretical Background, Mathematical formulations, Computational representation, Prediction of Impacts on Water Quality, Problem Identification, The Heoretical Background, Mathematical formulations, Computational representation, Prediction of Impact on Noise, Prediction of Impact on Noise, Problem Identification, Theoretical Background, Mathematical formulations, Computational representation, Biological Environment, Socio-economic Environment, Impact Evaluation, Sensitivity analysis in Impact Evaluation, Design of green belts, Case study

# **CONTACT : ENVIRO MEDIA**

Post Box -90, Rohan Heights, 2nd Floor, KARAD - 415 110 Email : rktem@pn3.vsnl.net.in