Jr. of Industrial Pollution Control 22 (2)(2006) pp 261-268 © Enviromedia Printed in India. All rights reserved

# THE SIGNIFICANCE OF DETERMINING CHROMI-UM AND LEAD IONS IN EFFLUENT DISCHARGE FROM HIGH PRSSURE SYNTHESIS LOOP

## NEEKA JACOB BIRAGBARA

Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering University of Uyo, P.M.B. 1017, UYO Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria

Key words : Chromium and lead ions, Effluent discharge, Spectrophotometer.

# ABSTRACT

The concentration of chromium and lead ions in the effluent discharge from high pressure synthesis loop in a nitrogenous fertilizer plant has been investigated using the symadzu 160UV, visible/ultraviolet light of 2cm model photo spectrometer at room temperature .The extraction methods were adopted in the analysis of samples collected from six points. The determination of Cr (0.001-0.65) Mg and of Pb (0.001-75) Mg in water in the presence of interfering ions such as Ni, Mo, Co, Fe, Zn, Al, Mg, Bi, Cu, As etc were done. Analysis base on chemical formula produce results, which were plotted as concentration against time and contrasted with standard recommendation. The results are in good agreement. The application of the findings is many, particular, in heavy metal monitoring and controls production optimization and wastewater management system wherein aquatic lives and the health of human are important.

# INTRODUCTION

The Significance of spectrophotometric determination of Chromium (Cr<sup>2-</sup>) and lead (Pb<sup>zt</sup>) ions in effluent discharge from the high-pressure synthesis loop of a fertilizer plant is hinged on health and environment reasons. The cumulative effect and toxic implication of these heavy metals on aquatic and human lives and the overall environmental pollution hazards are enormous (Greenberg and Kingston, 1989). Chromium form the bulk urea grade alloys

#### NEEKA

(2Cr Ni Mo) used in most high-pressure vessels in the chemical plant (Kellogg 1985), and its contaminated water causes asthma, ulcer, dermatitis and perforation of nasal septum (Mani 2005). On the other hand metallic Lead constitutes the bulk of most galvanize pipes, welding electrodes and paint pigments (red lead oxide paints). Used in coating in the plant (Neeka, 2003). The event of corrosion releases these toxic ions through effluent waters into the environment. Though both Cr<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup> have cumulative poisonous effect on human and aquatic lives (ASTM, 1976) spectrophotometry provides effective analytical technique of accurate concentration in waste water from the chemical plant, and time resolve measurement has shown that cumulative increase in Cr and Pb increases with the rate of corrosion in the heat exchanger tubes, the purity level of deminerized water from the cooling water plant and the process variables conditions (Washington, 1991). The present study attempts to marks several other heavy metals and examine the relationship between time and cumulative formation of Cr<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup> with reference to the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA) tolerance limit. The extraction procedure follows the standard of American Industrial Environment guidelines as reported in (ATAMA et al. 2005) with good correlation.

## METHODS

#### Apparatus

The essential apparatus included symadzu 160 UV, 2 cm model spectrophotometer with scanning system for monitoring the ultraviolet and visible region emission from the heated effluent solution, volumetric flask, beakers, burette, pH meter, glass stopper and sample cans.

## Reagents

The following reagents in accurate concentration were used; potassium sulphate, potassium hypoiodite, silver nitrate, tetraoxosulphate (vi) acid, potassium cyanide, (KCN), Ammonia (NH3), Sodium tartrate solution, carbon tetrachloride (CCL<sub>4</sub>) solution, Thymol blue, Dithiozoue indicators, concentrated trioxonitrate (v) acid (HNO<sub>4</sub>) solution.

## Sample collection

The samples used in these analyses were collected from six points once every where for five weeks using six white 10 liter cans. The cans were sterilized by washing it with hot water, warm mild detergent solution, rinsing with distilled water followed by drying by turning the cans upside down for 2 days.

## Sample preparation

A standard solution from 0.15g of potassium chromate was prepared 250mL of water. The following reagents were added:

5-6 drops of 10% Ag  $\rm No_3$  solution, 25cm³ of 0.1MK10\_4 solution, 0.5cm³ of 10%  $\rm H_250_4$  solution.

The solution was made up to 100cm<sup>3</sup> volume. The solution was extracted into another 250ml beaker and made up to 100cm<sup>3</sup> by adding distilled water. The extract was properly shaken and allowed to stand for 5mins and then

added 5-6 drops of 10%  $H^{2}50_{4'}$ , 0.1m AgNO<sub>3</sub> solution, 1g of 10%  $K_2 S_2 50_{4'}$  solution. The mixture was gently heated to boiling point for about 5mis, cooled to room temperature and 0.5g of 10%  $K10_4$  also added before final heating to boil for 5mins. The solution was extracted into another 250mL beaker and made up to 100cm<sup>3</sup> volumes and the absorbance read up at 440nm wavelength in the symadzu 160UV spectrophotometer.

Similarly, the extraction method for lead ion in solution was prepared by adding 5-6 drops of concentrated HNO<sub>2</sub> solution, shaken properly and allowed to stand for 2 days. Then the acidified solution was poured into a reparatory cup rotating at 500turn per second and filtered. Into the filtrate, 2-3 drops of concentrated HNO<sub>2</sub> solution was added to lower the pH to about 2.0 and then transferred 100cm<sup>3</sup> of the filtrate into a separating funnel and added the following; 5-6 drops of thymol blue indicator, 25cm<sup>3</sup> of 20% NH<sub>2</sub> solution and 5cm<sup>3</sup> of 0.2m dithiozone. The solution was properly shaken and allowed to stand for 2mins. More dithiozone solution added and the layers filtered. The filtrate collected was put into a separating funnel and 5cm<sup>3</sup> of 2M CCL, added into the second layer and extracted. To the extracts collected into the second reparatory funnel were added 20cm3 of alkaline KCN solution and shaken properly. The CCL, were drained in to the second separatory funnel into a 5cm<sup>3</sup> volumetric flask and the extraction continued for six times and each time, adding 2cm<sup>3</sup> of 2M CCL, of the solvent used in eluting the aqueous phase. Volumetric flask and make up to the mark by adding more CCL, solution. The CCL, solution serves as reference material. The solution was filtered through a dry filter paper and the absorbance read up in the spectrophotometer irradiating light at 2cm of wavelength 520nm at room temperature.

#### Rate reaction equation

The process kinetics governing the reaction of chromium and lead ions in solution has been thoroughly investigated by Neeka (2003). The molecular interaction between soluble chromium and slightly soluble lead create strong chelated complexes according to the equations.

Ag		
$2Cr^{3+} + 3S_{2}O_{2}^{2-} + 7H_{2}O \rightarrow$	$Cr_2O_7^{2-} + 6SO_4^{2-} + 14H^+$	 (1)
$\sim$	2 / 1	. ,

 $2Pb^{2+} + 0CL_2^{-} + 20H^{-} \rightarrow Pb_2 + 2H_20$  ...... (2)

From equations (1) above

$$\operatorname{Cr}^{3+} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cr}^{2+}$$
 ......(3)

Taking the RHS of equations (1), the rate equation with respect to chromium is given as.

dCr <sup>2r</sup>	= K[P6SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ] [14H <sup>+</sup> ] [Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ]	
t		

Similarly the LHS of equation (2), with respect to lead is given as:

$$\frac{d[Cr^{2+}}{dt} = -k (POCL_2) [Pb^{2+}]$$
 (5)

Equation (4) is first order rate equation and (5) is second order with respect to hydroxyl ion. The basic assumption in both cases is that the pH concentration of effluent discharge is known. The partial pressure of the sulphate and hypochloride ions and other known parameters are lump together and the rate reaction constant equation reduces to first order kinetics thus:

$\frac{d[Cr^{2+}]}{dt} = -k (E/RT) [2Cr^{2-}]$	]	
$d[Pb^{2+}] = -k[E/RT] Pb^{2+}]$		)
dt		

Where E is the molar absorption coefficient, R is the Molar gas constant at absolute temperature T. Integrating both side of equations (6) and (7) to conform to Beer's Lambert equation yields;



respectively

$= e^{-[E/RI][Cr2-]}$	 (12)
e <sup>-[E/RT] [pb2+]</sup>	 (13)

The equations (12) and (13) are the derived forms of Beer's Lambert equation for absorption coefficient of any given substance at any given wavelength. These equations are evaluated by plotting



#### DISCUSSION

Both chromium (Cr2<sup>-</sup>) and lead (Pb2<sup>+</sup>) are heavy metals that need to be reduced considerably from the effluent water for health and environmental reasons. The urea plant high-pressure vessels have specified urea grade metal alloys 2 CrNiMo for which chromium erode into effluent wastewater during corrosion. Similarly other paints and particularly the red lead oxide of zinc chromate used for drying of process condensate lines releases lead ion to the effluent water during corrosion, specialize as the plant is aging. By this process, it becomes absolutely necessary to adopt the spectrophotometric method in analyzing the

concentration of chromium and lead in wastewater discharge. The extraction methods were adopted in both cases. Rigorous masking and extraction steps taken reduce this inference and impurity levels of mg, Ni, Bi, Cu, Mo, As etc. The result agrees with the work of Greenberg and George Washington. The wavelength at which considerable absorption of chromium could be noticed varies within 440-450 nm and that of lead is precise at 530nm. The results in both cases show an increase above the tolerance level. The American standard for testing material (ASTM) and World health organization (W.H.O) specified 0.02 and 0.05 tolerance doses respectively. The results show increases up to the tolerance level and tend to remain slightly above it. These results agree with the report of Atama et al. (2005). It is obvious that the effect of corrosion directly influences the level of concentration of these heavy metals in wastewater. The purity level of the results is high and the absorbance level at the visible and ultraviolet region, adequate at a higher wavelength of 440nm and 520nm respectively. Studies at higher wavelength region indicate that this work could adequately explain the level of chromium and lead ions in effluent wastewater discharged from the high-pressure synthesis loop. Caution must be exercised to carry out the analysis at room temperature by allowing the sample to cool before preparation for analysis.

Table 1

Average Spectrophotometric reading for chromium at 440nm wavelength

Key	Time/sec	Concentration (mg/L)
DP	0	0.034
RT	0.05	0.031
CC	0.15	0.077
SB	0.25	0.025
SP	0.30	0.053
ST	0.35	0.043

Table 2
Average Spectrophotometric reading for lead at 520nm wavelength

Key	Time/sec	Concentration (mg/L)
DP	0	0.032
RT	0.05	0.052
CC	0.15	0.032
SB	0.25	0.048
SP	0.3	0.051
ST	0.35	0.05

# CONCLUSION

Both chromium and lead have cumulative poisonous effect on human and aquatic lives and needs to be minimized considerably. The cost of control may vary proportionately with corrosion maintenance but the end result on safe and healthy living need not be compromised. The early checks on corrosion rate increase should be adopted as the plant is aging. There should be alternatives to lead fill paints and other coating agents on process pipes.

Table 3	
ASTM tolerance concentration for heavy metal	(ppm)

Kev	Time/sec	Concentration (ppm)
	11110/ 000	concentration (ppin)
Magnesium (Mg)	200	Essential nutrient at 200Mg/day
Arsenic (As)	0.01	Cumulative system poison
Chromium (Cr)	0.02	Cumulative system poison
Copper (Cu)	0.01	Essential for nutrient at 1Mg/ray
Cyanide (CN)	0.01	Fatal Poison
Lead (Pb)	0.05	Cumulative System poison
Manganese (Mn)	0.01	Essential for nutrient at 0.5 Mg/day
Zink (Zn)	0.04	Cumulative system poison.

 Table 4

 Spectrophotometric reading for chromium at 440nm wavelength

Time	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
0	0.023	0.034	0.033	0.034	0.034	0.034
0.05	0.034	0.031	0.032	0.030	0.034	0.031
0.15	0.048	0.064	0.086	0.094	0.092	0.077
0.25	0.026	0.024	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025
0.3	0.034	0.052	0.054	0.053	0.054	0.053
0.35	0.029	0.035	0.040	0.043	0.042	0.043

 Table 5

 Spectrophotometric reading of lead at 520nm wavelength

	1 1		0			0
Time	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
0	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.032
0.05	0.055	0.05	0.052	0.052	0.051	0.05
0.15	0.033	0.032	0.03	0.034	0.033	0.032
0.25	0.049	0.05	0.051	0.042	0.052	0.046
0.3	0.05	0.049	0.048	0.05	0.049	0.058
0.35	0.051	0.048	0.05	0.051	0.05	0.05



Fig. 1 Average variation of chromium concentration time graph at 440nm wavelength



Fig. 2 Average variation in concentration time graph at 520 nm wavelength



Fig. 3 Semilog plot of concentration time graph of lead ion 530 nm wavelength

Subject to variation in analysis methods, it can be seen that at higher wavelength; the peak band also varies in compliance with Beer Lambart's theory of absorptive coefficient. It is strongly proposed that there should be proper and inadequate wastewater treatment before discharge. Chromium and lead concentration in effluent discharge from the high-pressure synthesis loop are considerably high.

#### Nomenclature

- DP<sub>w</sub> Demineralized plant drain point water
- CC<sup>''</sup> Carbamate condenser drain point water
- $RT_c$  Reactor drain point C
- $SB_{D}$  Scrubber drain point D
- $SP_{B}^{-}$  Stripper drain point B
- $ST_{r}$  Sump tank, effluent.

NÄFCON-National Fertilizer Company of Nigeria Limited.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to sincerely acknowledge the contributions of Engr. Tony Ikhabohme, urea plant superintendent (NAFCON) for allowing us access to the plant site, Mr Lebari Kabel, shift A superintendent (NAFCON) for assisting us in set-



Fig. 4 Concentration time graph of chromium at 440 nm wavelength



Fig. 5 Concentration time graph at 520 nm wavelength

ting and running the tests with sydmatu 160 spectrophotomer and Dr. Steve Amadi, Head of Department chemical/petrochemical engineering, Rivers State University of Science and Technology Port Harcourt, Nigeria for his courage and financial support in carrying out the study.

#### REFERENCES

- American Society for Testing and Measurement. *Annual Book of (ASTM) Standards* 1976. 8. (2) : 90 92.
- Atama, C., Eyo, J.E. and Mgbenka, B.O. 2005. Heavy metals in effluents of two industries in south- Eastern Nigeria. *Proc. of Sci. and Tech Forum* 2 (1) .Uyo Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.
- Greenberg, R.R. and Kingston, H.M. 1989. Determination of trace elements in national water using the neutron activation analysis method. *J. AIChE* 38:1640.
- George Washington, D.A. 1991. Determination of dissolved iron in effluent water from Eleme refinery by spectrophometric method. Univ.of Ibadan,Nigeria p.12
- Kellogg, M.W. 1985. Operating Instruction Manual for 1500 Metric Tons Per stream day Urea Plant. National Fertilizer Company of Nigeria limited (NAFCON), Nigeria.
- Neeka, J.B. 2003. Spectrophotometric determination of lead ion in effluent discharge from high-pressure synthesis loop. University of Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Veena Mani, Harjit Kaur and Madhu Mohini 2005. Toxic metals and environmental pollution. *Jr of Industrial Pollution Control*. 21(1):102-107.
- The Industrial Environment Its Evaluation and Control, 1973. Washington D. C. Government Printing Office. pp. 53.